

2020-2025 Nonpoint Source
Program Implementation Plan
Coastal & Marina comments



Michael Hanks

State Water Resources Control Board

December 2020

Purpose of CA NPS Program Implementation Plan

- State's plan for addressing nonpoint source pollution
- Identifies nonpoint sources of concern in California: Marinas & Coastal, Forestry, Irrigated Lands, Cannabis, Grazing, Confined Animal Facilities...
- Describes California's strategy for addressing nonpoint sources
 - TMDLs, monitoring, WDRs, financial assistance, inter-agency coordination, watershed-based plan development, other planning efforts ...
- New plan is required every 5 years, the current plan expired in July 2020

State Water Board and Coastal Commission: Marinas and Coastal Goals for the Next 5 Years

- Ensure that coastal development projects implement management measures and best management practices to protect and restore coastal waters
- Consider sea level rise in the design of water quality protection measures in coastal development projects
- Coordinate the MIACC
- Implement high or very high priority projects from the 2019 Ocean Plan Review

Public Comment Period

- June 12 – July 13
- Several commenters requested extension
- Extended to July 31
- Comments reviewed by State and Regional Water Board and Coastal Commission Staff

Next Steps

- Submit updated plan to US EPA by December 31.

Who Commented?

- Marina and recreational boating groups: Marine Recreation Association, marinas, ports, harbors, paint and boating companies
- Cities and Counties
- Industry Groups: California Cattleman's Association
- Environmental Organizations: California Sierra Environmental Resource Center, California Coastkeeper Alliance
- Tribes
- Citizens and businesses

Comment Categories

- Marinas/Coastal (40), Agriculture (17), Plan Structure (12), Bacteria / Homelessness (11), Source Water Protection (9), Transboundary Impacts (7), Rangelands / Grazing (6), Timber (6), CVSALTS (4), Performance Measures and Reporting (4), Watershed Planning (3), Data Collection (2), Confined Animal Facilities (2), HABs (2), OWTS (2), Sediment & Temperature (2), Cannabis (1), Climate Change (1), Drinking Water (1), Technology (1), Water Availability (1), Mining (1), & Plastic (1)

Comment Stats

- ❖ 81 Comment Letters Received (around 30 letters from Marine Recreation Association members)
- ❖ 40 distinct marina/coastal comments

Comments incorporated into Plan

- ❖ Include the Department of Pesticide Regulation, the state's regulator of Copper AFP's, as an essential partner for achieving statewide copper-related goals.
- ❖ Include goal of better understanding the impacts of ocean acidification to develop water quality objectives and improve the resilience of the coastal environment.

Comments not incorporated into Plan

- ❖ Plan describes actions already in motion
- ❖ Many comments were outside the scope of the Plan
- ❖ Comments represented work the Water Boards are doing, but were not identified as a focus area for the Plan

However:

- ❖ All comments were directed to relevant programs
- ❖ Comments were helpful and informative

Questions?

Contact: Michael.Hanks@waterboards.ca.gov